

She can't help it

Most conservatives are familiar with the adage, "Liberals lie."

The lengths they go to are sometimes laughable; that is if the audience isn't too impressionable or gullible.

Mrs. Clinton tried it again recently while trolling for votes in West Virginia. Perhaps she had forgotten that West Virginia coal mines are on the president's fossil fuel extinction list. (And coal mines have coal *miners*...)

In Williamson, WV on May 2nd she was "called out" by Bo Copley, an out-of-work miner, for her anti-coal comments on CNN back in March. Bo had a good memory of Mrs. Clinton's attacks on the coal industry, long before the CNN appearance and since, and confronted Hillary on her actions to populate the unemployment lines with former coal mine employees.

Her response? She lied.

But around the table, her evasion of the truth didn't gather much laughter; not even grins.

Mrs. Clinton's long history of disassociation with things factual must have preceded her visit...

No Newswire for 5/23

Due to family obligations there will be no Hoosier Patriots *Monday Morning Newswire* on May 23, 2016. Publication will resume with the issue for May 30, 2016. Thanks for your consideration.

Monday Morning Newswire

Non-partisan conservative commentary published by Hoosier Patriots, 8329 Carolwood Court, Evansville, IN 47715-4595, each Monday morning and sent by e-mail to those who subscribe. ©2016 - All Rights Reserved.

HOOSIER PATRIOTS

Jim Bratten

Subscribe through e-mail at:
hpnw.jimb@gmail.com



The progressives' denial of natural law

Invented 'rights'

Recently, Terence Jeffrey, Editor-in-Chief of *CNSNews.com*, a division of the *Media Research Center* (MRC), observed in obvious frustration:

"...Our nation is being ripped loose from its mooring in natural law. Forty-three years ago, seven members of the Supreme Court declared a 'right' to kill an unborn child. Since then, tens of millions of babies have been aborted.

"A year ago, five members of the Supreme Court declared a 'right' for two people of the same sex to marry. Were there such a right, which there is not, it would mean children do not have a right to a mother — or a father.

"Currently, an eight-member Supreme Court is weighing whether the government can force Christians to act against their faith and cooperate in the distribution of abortifacient drugs that take innocent lives. Thus could the freedom of conscience be curtailed in the pursuit of further diminishing the right to life.

"If the case the Justice Department filed in North Carolina this week makes it to the Supreme Court, the underlying question before the court may be, as it is in the Justice Department's complaint: What is a man and what is a woman? The laws of nature and nature's God answered that question a long time ago. But President Obama's Justice Department has a different opinion."

I would suggest that the current president and his Justice Department lackeys be presented with a panorama of human history, most particularly that of Western Civilization. Then they could easily point to the precise moments where these anomalies can be seen. These must have occurred before in societies where those cultures accommodated the odd exceptions. And surely they can show us the exact clause in the U.S. Constitution that allows the federal government to dictate all these things that greatly enhance

the individual liberties of American citizens without usurpation of our rights.

But, of course, they cannot. They can't give examples of societies that accommodated reality turned on its head, then survived long thereafter with any semblance of freedom. And they cannot show us the clauses in the U.S. Constitution where these dictates are allowed. The actions described by Mr. Jeffrey are *all* unconstitutional.

When did we become a nation based on opinion, instead of one founded on natural law and God-given rights? How can we allow temporary indulgences in unpredictable social experimentations to replace proven principle? Why do we allow perversions of our Declaration of Independence to stand as new law, and ignore reality?

Remember the 1960s and 1970s, when "freedom of conscience" was so important to young leftists, dodging the draft to avoid service in Vietnam as "conscientious objectors"? Why is freedom of conscience different *now*, ruled as bigotry, called racist or extremist, when people of faith use it in defense of natural marriage, to preserve life in the womb, or to protect their daughters?

Mr. Jeffrey is entirely correct. This nation was founded upon, and irrevocably moored to, the laws of nature laid down by nature's God. But foolish tolerance of immorality and the apathy of a miseducated, ignorant populace has brought us perilously close to a realization of Aristotle's prediction, "Tolerance and apathy are the last virtues of a dying society."

Can this secular-induced insanity continue, sans absolutes and the concept of truth? Not if our civil society stands firmly on our nation's foundations and the preeminence of natural law is restored.

We Be People



Obama's social engineering

Sowing confusion

In the progressive remake of societies, a common tool used since the formative days of Marx and Engels' bloody ideology is the redefinition, or censorship, of words.

Thinking is replaced by feeling, so certain terms have to be redefined to reflect this, particularly when creating additional classes of victimhood; words are often forbidden.

The politically correct Obama Regime announced on May 5 that the words "felon" and "convict" are too *disparaging* and will no longer be used. The confusion created by these words, in those *convicted* of crimes or sent to prison based on the commission of *felonies*, will likely be addressed by new versions of therapy. The *convicts* and *felons* will be relieved to receive assistance in acclimating to their new identification.

It's similar to the "War on Terror" redefinition as "overseas contingency operations" or the term "terrorist attack" changed to "man-caused disaster." Now, in the parlance of the Obama Pentagon, an Islamist attack on soldiers at a U.S. Army base by a fellow soldier is really "workplace violence" instead of a domestic terror attack.

Many of us have heard the politically correct state-run media ban the words "illegal alien," despite the fact that those words are the epitome of accuracy. They also appear in federal statutes as a clear legal term, cataloged by the Library of Congress in 1980 as a "definitive" subject heading.

But, due to a request by a group of Dartmouth College students that the term was racist and so offensive its use should be discontinued, the national institution dedicated to accuracy and correctness in speech and language, the Library of Congress, has surrendered to acute political correctness. Even though the term is neither racist nor offensive, the Library of Congress agrees with a bunch of *college students*.

"Illegal alien" is accurate and concise in its meaning. Non-citizens are *aliens*. If they are present inside this nation's borders in noncompliance with our laws, they are *illegal*.

Enough with vapid requests from college students regarding semantics; we need to find out if those wacked professors are now working inside the Obama administration.

Another attack came last Friday, as the imperial president released his latest dispensation. But who thought progressives' "gender wars" would be launched against elementary school children?

Flanked by his education secretary and his attorney general, President Obama "advised" the public (government) schools of the nation to place the evidence of biology aside and cater to whatever "gender" a student chose to be on a given day. Boys could not be forbidden from using a restroom facility for girls; they would also be allowed into locker rooms and showers for girls. There are no exceptions.

Schools that object based on factual biology (at birth, male or female) don't have a defense against the president's ideology if they wish to keep federal education funding. He says biology isn't relevant. The gender-confused child must be catered to, not questioned psychologically. Safety and innocence of other children doesn't matter.

A spokesman for the "transgender community" said recently, referencing the North Carolina law reaffirming the basics of biology: "It's time we stop trying to fix transgender people. It's time to fix society."

So we don't need to address an individual's psychological problems? Instead, we need to force society to accommodate, force individuals to accept unnatural behavior and religions to comply, against their faith?

Since when has politically-purposed social engineering been beneficial to the survival of civil society? The only "fix" needed is the repair to overcome our cultural destruction, which has been substantial.

President Obama said he would "bring Americans together." He has forced Americans together in *groups* – ever more divisive clusters of victims. He has divided; "unite" is not in his vocabulary. The president's intent is not diversity, but *division*: by race, income, faith, occupation, education, and gender. Whatever works...

His goals of division are achieved by sowing confusion and doubt – confusion not only of what IS and what is NOT, but confusion about who we are as Americans;

doubt as to what we believe and doubt in the things for which we have always stood.

We have been told that everything is simply a matter of "choice" – there is no hard truth or unalterable reality; no absolutes. The government schools have decided for us. There is no higher authority who defines things since the removal of God from schools, not even the parents of our ever more governmentally-abused children.

There are rules, guidelines, borders, correct behavior, definitions... and *laws*. The things that define a civil society and hold it together – known as ordered liberty.

When I was growing up, there were good things and bad things. Doing good brought rewards; doing bad brought penalties or punishment. You were taught to tell the difference, based on absolutes. Things were true or they were false. They weren't interchangeable. They aren't now.

Once confused however (there is no right and wrong; no good and evil), a people can more easily be divided, then guided wherever the despot wishes them to go.

We are doing a terrible disservice to our children and grandchildren, teaching them that everything in life is a choice. That's not true. There are *absolutes*. Certain things are right or wrong; true or false. Always, without exception.

Stand against the confusion; deny the division. We know this nation embodies concepts and principles that are foreign to this president; things which he does not believe. He desperately wants to *transform* our nation, deny our liberty, and remake our children. Progressive reengineering of our society and debased confusion of our moral values must stop HERE. No further.

The president has NO authority over state and local schools. PERIOD! Tell your governor to stand with North Carolina! And tell your school board to REFUSE this unconstitutional EDICT!

Enough!
READ THE CONSTITUTION